

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,499	09/23/2003	Kyung-Chool Choi	45441	1923	
7590 08/17/2006			EXAMINER		
Joseph J. Buc:	zynski	SHAH, MANISH S			
Roylance, Abra	ms, Berdo & Goodman, L	.L.P.			
Suite 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1300 19th Street, N.W.			2853		
Washington, D	C 20036				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/667	7,499	CHOI ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Manish	S. Shah	2853				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. utory period will apply an iil, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION  event, however, may a reply be tind  d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. 2 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practic	o)□ This action i or allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dienociti	•							
	on of Claims	- 1 4						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or ion to the drawing( he correction is rec	s) be held in abeyance. Sequired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2)  Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	<sup>-</sup> O-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 7-8 & 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sekiya (# US 2003/0016269 A1).

Sekiya discloses a discharge/heater roller for use with an ink jet printer capable of printing an image on paper, the discharge/heater roller including a heat-conductive cylindrical portion (element: 88, figure: 1), a roller rubber (silicon rubber) covering the cylindrical portion and generating a friction force to discharge the paper from the printer ([0117]), and a heat-generator disposed on an inner surface of the cylindrical portion in an axial direction ([0116]), wherein the discharge/heater roller is disposed close to print head of the printer (element: 88, figure: 9). They also disclose that the heat generator includes a heater coil formed of nichrome wire ([0016]), and wherein the roller rubber is formed of material (silicon rubber), which is heat resistant with respect to a predetermined temperature transmitted from the heat generator ([0117]).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,499 Page 3

Art Unit: 2853

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 & 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sekiya (# US 2003/0016269) and Jacob (# US 2002/0130939).

AAPA discloses an ink jet printer including a print head forming an image by spraying ink from a nozzle towards a paper (element: 6, figure: 1-2); a transfer unit for transferring the paper towards the print head (figure: 1-2); a discharge/heater roller being in contact with a side of the paper opposite to a side with image formed thereon by the print head for drying ink, and for discharging the paper; wherein the discharge /heater roller includes a heat conductive cylinder portion; a heat generator disposed on an inner surface of the cylinder portion in an axial direction (element: 8, figure: 2). They also disclose that the discharge/heater roller is disposed close to the print head (figure: 2).

AAPA differs from the claim of the present invention is that the (1) one or more supporting rolls located above the discharge/heater roller for discharging paper together with the discharge/heater roller, wherein supporting roller including a star wheel for minimizing a spread of ink of the image on the paper. (2) The discharge/heater roller

includes a roller rubber covering the cylindrical portion and generating a friction force during the discharging paper portion, wherein the cylindrical portion is formed of aluminum, and wherein the roller rubber is formed of material, which is heat resistant with respect to a predetermined temperature transmitted from the heat generator.

Jacob teaches that to get the printed image without damaging the quality of printed image, which could be graphics, text or combination, inkjet printer includes one or more supporting rolls (element: 30, figure: 1) located above the discharge roller (element: 32, figure: 1) for discharging paper together with the discharge roller, wherein supporting roller including a star wheel for minimizing a spread of ink of the image on the paper (element: 30, figure: 1, [0022]-[0024]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the inkjet printer of AAPA by the aforementioned teaching of Jacob in order to get the printed image without damaging the quality of printed image, which could be graphics, text or combination.

Sekiya teaches that to remove the moisture and feed and discharge the sheet smoothly, the heater roller includes a roller rubber (elastic, silicone rubber) (element: 88, figure: 9) covering the cylindrical portion (element: 88, figure: 9) and generating a friction force during the discharging paper portion ([0114]-[0118]), wherein the heat generator includes a heater coil formed of nichrome wire ([0016]), and wherein the roller rubber is formed of material (silicon rubber), which is heat resistant with respect to a predetermined temperature transmitted from the heat generator ([0117]).

Application/Control Number: 10/667,499 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the discharge roller (element: 8; figure: 2) of inkjet printer of AAPA with the coating of rubber, which was taught by Sekiya in order to remove the printed sheet smoothly from the inkjet printer, which gives the high quality printed image.

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Muranaka (# US 6004052).

AAPA discloses all the limitation of the ink jet printer except that the cylindrical portion is formed of aluminum.

Muranaka teaches that to remove the moisture and feed and discharge the sheet smoothly, the heater roller includes a roller rubber (elastic, silicone rubber) (element: 12, figure: 2, 5) covering the cylindrical portion (element: 11, 26, figure: 2, 5) and generating a friction force during the discharging paper portion (column: 4, line: 18-25), wherein the cylindrical portion is formed of aluminum (column: 4, line: 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the discharge roller (element: 8; figure: 2) of inkjet printer of AAPA by the aforementioned teaching of Muranaka in order to remove the printed sheet smoothly from the inkjet printer, which gives the high quality printed image.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiya (# US 2003/0016269 A1) in view of Muranaka (# US 6004052).

Sekiya discloses all the limitation of a discharge/heater roller for use with an ink jet printer capable of printing an image on paper, the discharge/heater roller except that the cylindrical portion is formed of aluminum.

Muranaka teaches that to remove the moisture and feed and discharge the sheet smoothly, the heater roller includes a roller rubber (elastic, silicone rubber) (element: 12, figure: 2, 5) covering the cylindrical portion (element: 11, 26, figure: 2, 5) and generating a friction force during the discharging paper portion (column: 4, line: 18-25), wherein the cylindrical portion is formed of aluminum (column: 4, line: 10-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the discharge roller of inkjet printer of Sekiya by the aforementioned teaching of Muranaka in order to remove the printed sheet smoothly from the inkjet printer, which gives the high quality printed image.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 06/19/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that the coating on the roller of Sekiya is formed from a non-wetting material such as polytetrafluoroethylene, which had low coefficient of friction, which is persuasive. However, Sekiya discloses in the same paragraph 117, that the coating of the roller can formed of silicon rubber, and silicon rubber had a high coefficient of friction, which had sufficient force to discharge the paper as in the claimed invention. Therefore Sekiya anticipated the claimed invention.

With respect to 35 U.S.C 103(a) rejections of claims 1-3, 5-6, applicant argued that the alleged prior art disclose in the specification do not disclose supporting roller located above the discharge heating roller, a star wheel positioned above the heating roller, which is persuasive. However, Jacob teaches the star wheel position above the

Page 7

discharge roller 32, so it is obvious to add star wheel on top of the discharge-heating

roller of the prior art, and prior art already disclose the heating roller, only thing they

didn't disclose is that the coating of the heating roller is made of rubber. Sekiya teaches

the heating roller made of silicone rubber, so it obvious to modify the heating roller of

the prior art.

## Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. (1) Yamada et al. (# US 6029040) discloses that the roller 31 is covered by the material with high friction coefficient such as silicon rubber, so it doesn't slip the fixing belt (column: 5, line: 1-10).
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/667,499 Page 8

Art Unit: 2853

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,499

Art Unit: 2853

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Manish S. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSS 8/16/06